Step 3: After the Audit

After the audit, the audit committee, executive director, and senior financial staff are responsible for reviewing the draft audit report, asking questions about the auditors' findings, and evaluating any recommendations before they are presented to the board in the final report.

What is the client representation "letter to management"? This letter, sometimes referred to simply as the "management letter" serves to identify areas of operations or procedures that the nonprofit may want to improve or redesign. Since auditors work with a variety of organizations, they often are aware of "best practices" or -- at the very least -- "better practices" that they can point out in the letter to management. The audit committee or staff often asks to review a draft of the management letter just to make sure that the letter is accurate before the final version goes to the board of directors, since the board is likely to be concerned about any deficiencies or even less serious concerns that the auditors identify in the letter. The accounting standards require the auditors to report to the board any "material weaknesses" and significant deficiencies. (SAS Nos. 114, 115)

Issues that auditors may point out in the client representation letter typically fall into two categories:

- **Material internal control issues**: Issues that auditors would identify include any weaknesses in the processes, systems, and internal procedures that help to
ensure that all financial transactions are recorded properly. Strong internal controls (e.g., early detection and correction) serve to highlight errors and irregularities in financial operations. Correcting the issues will provide additional integrity to the financial statements and may help to reduce audit costs in the future. The auditors will point out any material internal control issues in the management letter so that the nonprofit can address those issues before the next audit.

- **Operating inefficiencies**: Management letters may identify issues that are, or could become red flags, and propose improvements to resolve problems and strengthen operations. Sometimes it takes an independent or outsider’s eye to identify inefficiencies that could be improved or new technologies that will improve operations. The auditor’s letter to management may also point out operating procedures that are inefficient or unnecessary.

**What is the role of the audit committee (or the board) after the audit?**

The insights shared by the auditors should be presented formally and in-person by the auditor to the board of directors or the audit committee at the conclusion of the audit process. However, first there should be a discussion with the audit committee and management. In addition to acting on suggestions provided by the auditor, management/the audit committee can also use the opportunity of an annual audit to enlist the support of the auditor to undertake new initiatives. If the auditor agrees that initiatives suggested by management may strengthen operations, the auditor may choose to include management's ideas in the management letter. Management may also identify for the auditor areas that may need further, independent corroboration in order for the board to fully appreciate the ramifications of their decisions.

**Questions for the audit committee to discuss with the auditor(s) when reviewing the draft of the management letter and the audited financial statements:**

- Did the auditors note any limitations on the scope or nature of the audit procedures?
• What factors did the auditors consider when determining the scope of the audit?
• Did the staff cooperate with the auditors? Was there a healthy flow of information between the staff and auditors?
• Were there any legal and regulatory matters that would impact the organization’s financial statements?
• Were there any conflicts of interest between the auditors and the organization? If so, how were they handled?
• Did the auditors find that the organization’s internal financial controls were adequate?
• Did the auditors provide a written opinion about the quality and acceptability of the nonprofit’s accounting principles?
• Were there any significant changes to the audit plan that occurred during the course of the audit?
• Were there any serious disputes or difficulties encountered by the auditors during the audit?
• Re: any “material” or “serious” recommendations noted by the auditor: Ask the auditors to explain in detail any material or serious concerns they discovered.
  ○ The auditors will usually provide such recommendations to the committee in a “letter management.”
  ○ The committee should review the executive director’s comments in response to the letter and receive follow-up reports on actions taken.

Questions for the audit committee to discuss with the executive director (“ED”) after the audit:

• Did the auditors perform their work efficiently and effectively? Are you (ED) satisfied with the scope, nature, and timing of the audit?
• Are you (ED) satisfied with the knowledge, skills, and abilities of those assigned to do the audit?
• Did the auditors work with the organization to ensure complete coverage and effective use of resources without redundant efforts?
• Did the committee and ED review the fee arrangement between the organization and the auditors?
• Was there any documentation that the auditors requested that the staff could not produce?
Six questions for the audit committee/board to ask the auditors after the audit:

When the draft report and client representation letter to management is ready but before they are finalized, the audit committee/board liaison should meet with the auditors one final time before the report and letter are dated and released to the board of directors. These questions are designed to determine whether there are any issues to bring to the board's attention in connection with the audit, and to anticipate questions that a board member may typically ask when presented with the independent auditor's report.

1. Was the management team cooperative and forthcoming with requested information and documentation?
2. How do our accounting policies and procedures compare with those of other comparable nonprofits?
3. Are there any items that might be disputed by the IRS? If yes, what documentation should be on hand to bolster the item?
4. Did the management team follow suggestions noted by auditors in prior years to correct weaknesses in the internal accounting system?
5. Did you discover anything regarding the financial statements or internal financial management procedures that should be brought to the attention of the board of directors?
6. Do you have any suggestions for improvements in accounting, reporting, or operating procedures?

Release of the auditor's report to management
After all questions have been asked and answered, including confirmations of anything that the auditors needed to check, the final step is that the auditors will sign and date the report, and deliver it to the board of directors with a client representation letter, the same date as the audit report.

**Presentation of the audit report to the board of directors**

During the meeting that the board of directors receives the independent audit, the appropriate action for the agenda is for the board of directors to "accept" the auditor's report and letter to management, rather than "approve" them. This is because the board's action in connection with the audit is literally to receive and "accept" the auditor's independent report. The findings in the report are not subject to change by the board after the report is submitted to the board, consequently, the board's action is not to approve/disapprove, but to accept the report. However, discussion by the full board of the audit report should be encouraged so that board members are familiar with the report's findings. Generally all board members receive a copy of the independent audit and management letter in their board materials for the meeting during which the report is accepted.

**Evaluating and engaging an auditor for the future**

During and after the audit, audit committees evaluate the auditors' performance and recommend to the board whether to retain the same firm for next year’s audit or whether to engage a new one. Therefore, it is imperative that the audit committee is diligent in evaluating the auditors. The audit committee should consider a number of questions about its relationship with the independent auditor and should also engage the nonprofit’s key executives for their comments.

To demonstrate a commitment to transparency and accountability, the National Council of Nonprofits posts its [annual audit report](#) on its website in addition to posting all the other documents required to be made available to the public upon request. Read more about [cultivating a culture of accountability and transparency](#).

**Additional resources for after the audit**
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Disclaimer: The resources in this Guide are offered for informational purposes only. The National Council of Nonprofits recommends consulting a lawyer or accountant who has expertise in accounting rules for charitable nonprofits so that you can be confident that your charitable nonprofit is in compliance with all legal requirements. And, when your organization is looking for trusted information about financial management practices, good governance, and accountability, don’t overlook the resources that membership in your state association of nonprofits can provide.